REDUCTION OF HYDROXYMETHYLENE DERIVATIVES OF CAMPHOR

WITH COMPLEX HYDRIDES*

Jean-Claude Richer and Reginald Clarke

Department of Chemistry University of Montreal, Montreal, P.Q., Canada.

(Received 24 February 1964)

The reduction of the enol ethers of 2-hydroxymethylene cyclohexanone (I) by lithium aluminum hydride have been reported^{1,2} to yield a,β -unsaturated aldehydes (II) by means of a hydride addition to the carbonyl group according to the scheme <u>a</u> (see flow sheet I). Saturated carbonyl compounds suspected of being the aldehydes IIId and IIJe have been isolated in the reduction of the ethers Id and Ie.



* Presented in part before the 19th meeting of the "Association Canadienne Française pour l'Avancement des Sciences". Québec, P.Q., November 1963

935

Reduction of hydroxymethylene derivatives of camphor with complex hydrides

It was shown recently³ that the reduction of the ethyl ether (IV) derived from 3-formylhomocamphor does not lead to any aldehydic material. Only³-methylhomocamphor (V) could be isolated from the reaction mixture. This result was



VIa $Y = N(CH_3)$ VIb $\overline{Y} = SBu$ VIc Y = OBuVIc Y = H

explained by a reduction involving two successive 1,4-additions of hydride to the ciscid⁴ system formed by the carbonyl group and the exocyclic double bond (reduction scheme <u>c</u> in flow sheet I)

In order to evaluate the factors influencing these types of reactions, the derivatives (VI) were reduced with lithium aluminum hydride and with sodium borohydride. The results are reported in table I.

TABLE I

RESULTS OF THE VARIOUS HYDRIDE REDUCTIONS

Reducing agent	Derivatives	Percentage of products		
		VII	' VIII	IX
LialH4	VIa	05%	60-65%	30-35%
	VID	35-40%	0-10%	50-55%
	VIc	5-10%	60-65%	30-35%
	VId		60-65%	35-40%
NaBH4	VIa	25%	10%	65%
	VId	-	15%	85%

936

FLOW SHEET I

MECHANISTIC SCHEMES FOR THE REDUCTIONS



* In these mechanistic schemes, -MX3 stands for undetermined alkoxyaluminum hydrides or alkoxyborohydrides.

Reduction of hydroxymethylene derivatives of camphor with complex hydrides

The presence of 3-methylcamphor (VIII) in the reduction mixtures is demonstrated by peak superposition in the vapor phase chrometography with a sample prepared by an unambiguous method⁵ and by the formation of the corresponding semicarbazone m.p. 230° (lit.⁵ 229.5-230°). 3-Methyleneborneol (IX) whose presence in the reaction mixtures is indicated by peaks at 5.00 p.p.m.* (olefinic protons) and 3.58 p.p.m. (carbinolic proton) is characterized by the 3,5-dinitrobenzoate, m.p. $26^{\circ**}$. The aldehyde (VII) presenting peaks at 9.50 p.p.m. (aldehydic proton) and 6.58 p.p.m. (olefinic proton) is characterized by the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone m.p. 226° and by conversion to the known acid X m.p. 109-110° (lit.^{7,8} 112°) and [a]²⁵_D + 136.5° (lit.^{7,8} + 140°).

The results obtained for the reduction of the compounds (VIa, VIb and VId) can be explained by the mechanistic schemes proposed in the flow sheet.I.

The hypothesis that 3-methylenecamphor (VId) is a common intermediate in the reduction paths <u>b</u> and <u>c</u> (flow sheet I) is confirmed by the fact that for a given reducing agent the ratio of 3-methylcamphor (VIII)/3-methyleneborneol (IX) present in the reduction mixtures is the same whether the derivatives (VIa and VIc) or 3-methylenecambhor (VId) are reduced.

938

^{*} All n.m.r. measurements were carried out at 60Mc/sec. for CC1 solutions. Chemical shifts (8) are expressed in p.p.m. scale4 relative to internal tetramethylsilane

^{**} Correct analysis were obtained for all the new corrounds described in this paper.

No.16 Reduction of hydroxymethylene derivatives of camphor with complex hydrides

It must be noted that the schemes proposed do not satisfactorily explain the results of the reduction of the thiobutyl ether (VIb). Further work is currently underway to establish the scope of the 1,4-additions and the differences in behaviour of the O- and the S-ethers in these reductions.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial assistance of the Canadian National Research Council.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. Seifert and H. Schinz, Helv. Chim. Acta 34, 728 (1951)
- 2. R. Vonderwahl and H. Schinz, Helv, Chim, Acta 35, 2368 (1952)
- J.C. Richer "Thèse de doctorat" Faculté des Sciences, Université de Montréal (1958)
- 4. C. Djerassi and W. Rittel, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 79, 3528 (1957)
- 5. F. Dallager, Ann. 647, 23 (1961)
- 6. H. Rupe and M. Lenzlinger, Helv, Chim. Acta 18, 255 (1935)
- 7. J. Bredt, Ann. <u>348</u>, 199 (1906)
- 8. J. Bredt, <u>Ann. 366</u>, 1 (1909)